# ADJOINTS OF LINEAR FRACTIONAL COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED HARDY SPACES 

ŽELJKO ČUČKOVIĆ AND TRIEU LE


#### Abstract

It is well known that on the Hardy space $H^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ or weighted Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ over the unit disk, the adjoint of a linear fractional composition operator equals the product of a composition operator and two Toeplitz operators. On $S^{2}(\mathbb{D})$, the space of analytic functions on the disk whose first derivatives belong to $H^{2}(\mathbb{D})$, Heller showed that a similar formula holds modulo the ideal of compact operators. In this paper we investigate what the situation is like on other weighted Hardy spaces.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the open unit disk in the complex plane. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be an analytic map. The composition operator $C_{\varphi}$ is defined by $C_{\varphi} f=f \circ \varphi$, where $f$ is an analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$. Composition operators have been studied extensively on Hilbert spaces of analytic functions such as the Hardy space $H^{2}$, the weighted Bergman spaces $A_{\alpha}^{2}(\alpha>-1)$ and the Dirichlet space $\mathcal{D}$, just to name a few. The reader is referred to the excellent books [5] and [11] for more details. Of particular interest was finding the formula for the adjoint $C_{\varphi}^{*}$ on these spaces. Cowen [3] found the formula for $C_{\varphi}^{*}$ on $H^{2}$ for the case $\varphi$ is a linear fractional self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ (we shall call such $C_{\varphi}$ a linear fractional composition operator). Cowen showed that if $\varphi(z)=(a z+b) /(c z+d)$ is a linear fractional mapping of $\mathbb{D}$ into itself then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\varphi}^{*}=M_{g} C_{\sigma} M_{h}^{*}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma(z)=(\bar{a} z-\bar{c}) /(-\bar{b} z+\bar{d})$ is the Kreĭn adjoint of $\varphi$ and $M_{g}$ and $M_{h}$ are multiplication operators with symbols $g(z)=(-\bar{b} z+\bar{d})^{-1}$ and $h(z)=c z+d$. Cowen's formula was later extended by Hurst [9 to weighted Bergman spaces $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ with $\alpha>-1$. Such formulas initiated more studies of the adjoint of linear fractional composition operators on different spaces of analytic functions and on $H^{2}$ for general rational symbols. See [6, 4, 10, 7, 1] and the references therein.

Recently, Heller [8] investigated the adjoint of $C_{\varphi}$ acting on the space $S^{2}(\mathbb{D})$, which consists of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ whose first derivative belongs to $H^{2}$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the ideal of compact operators on $S^{2}(\mathbb{D})$. Heller obtained the following results.

Theorem A. Let $\varphi(z)=a z /(c z+d)$ be a holomorphic self-map of the disk and consider $C_{\varphi}$ acting on $S^{2}(\mathbb{D})$. Then

$$
C_{\varphi}^{*}=M_{G}^{*} C_{\sigma} \bmod \mathcal{K},
$$

where $G(z)=(-c / a) z+1$ and $\sigma(z)=(\bar{a} / \bar{d}) z-\bar{c} / \bar{d}$ is the Kreı̆n adjoint of $\varphi$.

[^0]Theorem B. Let $\varphi(z)=\lambda(z+u) /(1+\bar{u} z),|\lambda|=1,|u|<1$, be an automorphism of the disk and consider $C_{\varphi}$ acting on $S^{2}(\mathbb{D})$. Then

$$
C_{\varphi}^{*}=M_{G}^{*} C_{\varphi^{-1}} M_{1 / H} \bmod \mathcal{K}
$$

where $G(z)=-\overline{\lambda u} z+1$ and $H(z)=\bar{u} z+1$.
For a general linear fractional self-map $\varphi$, a formula for $C_{\varphi}^{*}$ modulo the compact operators can be obtained by combining the above two results. Certain simplification of the above formulas was also presented in [8]. It is curious to us that Heller's formulas are not of the same form as Cowen's formula 1.1): the order of the multiplication operators is different. The purpose of the paper is to investigate the adjoints of linear fractional composition operators in a more general setting. We then explain how to recover Heller's formulas from our results.

All of the spaces mentioned above belong to the class of weighted Hardy spaces $H^{2}(\beta)$, where $\beta=\{\beta(n)\}_{n \geq 1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of positive numbers. These spaces are Hilbert spaces of analytic functions on the unit disk in which the monomials $\left\{z^{n}: n \geq 0\right\}$ form an orthogonal basis with $\left\|z^{n}\right\|=\beta(n)$. We shall show that it is possible to obtain Cowen's formula modulo compact operators not only on $S^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ but also on a wide subclass of weighted Hardy spaces $H^{2}(\beta)$. Our strategy involves the family of weighted Bergman spaces $A_{\alpha}^{2}(\alpha \in \mathbb{R})$ studied by Zhao and Zhu [12]. We use the exact formulas for the reproducing kernels of $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ to obtain Cowen's type formula for $C_{\varphi}^{*}$ on these spaces first. We then extend our formulas to $H^{2}(\beta)$ for appropriate weight sequences whose term $\beta_{n}$ behaves asymptotically as $\left\|z^{n}\right\|_{\alpha}$.

## 2. Adjoint formulas on $A_{\alpha}^{2}$

In this section we study the adjoint of composition operators acting on weighted Bergman spaces $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$. The standard weighted Bergman spaces are defined for measures $d A_{\alpha}(z)=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d A(z)$ with $\alpha>-1$. Zhao and Zhu [12] extended this definition to the case where $\alpha$ is any real number. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the space $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ consists of holomorphic functions $f$ on $\mathbb{D}$ with the property that there exists an integer $k \geq 0$ with $\alpha+2 k>-1$ such that $\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{k} f^{(k)}(z)$ belongs to $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}, d A_{\alpha}\right)$, or equivalently, $f^{(k)}$ belongs to $A_{\alpha+2 k}^{2}$. It is well know that this definition is consistent with the traditional definition for $\alpha>-1$. The reader is referred to 12 for a detailed study of $A_{\alpha}^{2}$. Note that any function that is analytic on an open neighborhood of the closed unit disk belongs to $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ for all $\alpha$.

In [12, Section 11], it was shown that each $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space. When equipped with an appropriate inner product, the kernel of $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ can be computed explicitly. Depending on the value of $\alpha$, we obtain three types of kernels. For each type, we show that the operator

$$
C_{\varphi}^{*}-M_{g} C_{\sigma} M_{h}^{*}
$$

is either zero or has finite rank, where $g$ and $h$ are certain analytic functions associated with $\varphi$.

For $\alpha+2>0$, the kernel is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\alpha}(z, w)=\frac{1}{(1-z \bar{w})^{\alpha+2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left\|z^{m}\right\|_{\alpha}=\sqrt{\frac{m!\Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\Gamma(m+\alpha+2)}}, \quad m=0,1,2 \ldots
$$

which behaves asymptotically as $m^{-(\alpha+1) / 2}$ by Stirling's formula.
When $\alpha+2$ is negative and non-integer such that $-N<\alpha+2<-N+1$ for some positive integer $N$, the kernel takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\alpha}(z, w)=\frac{(-1)^{N}}{(1-z \bar{w})^{\alpha+2}}+Q(z \bar{w}) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is an analytic polynomial of degree $N$. In this case, for $m>N$,

$$
\left\|z^{m}\right\|_{\alpha}=\sqrt{(-1)^{N} \frac{m!\Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\Gamma(m+\alpha+2)}}
$$

which also behaves asymptotically as $m^{-(\alpha+1) / 2}$.
In the case $\alpha+2=-N$, where $N$ is a non-negative integer, the kernel has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\alpha}(z, w)=(\bar{w} z-1)^{N} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{w} z}\right)+Q(\bar{w} z) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ is an analytic polynomial of degree $N$. For $m>N$, we have

$$
\left\|z^{m}\right\|_{\alpha}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{A_{m}}}
$$

where $A_{m}$ is the coefficient of $z^{m}$ in the Taylor expansion

$$
(z-1)^{N} \log \frac{1}{1-z}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k} z^{k}
$$

The argument in the paragraph preceding [12, Theorem 44] shows that $\left\|z^{m}\right\|_{\alpha}$ behaves asymptotically as $m^{(N+1) / 2}=m^{-(\alpha+1) / 2}$ as well.

Remark 2.1. For any real number $\alpha$, we see that $\left\|z^{m}\right\|_{\alpha}$ behaves asymptotically as $m^{-(\alpha+1) / 2}$ when $m \rightarrow \infty$.

For the Hardy and weighted Bergman spaces (which may be identified as $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ with $\alpha \geq-1$ ), it is well known that their multiplier spaces are exactly the same as $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ and any composition operator induced by a holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ is bounded. However, such results do not hold for other values of $\alpha$. On the other hand, it turns out, as we shall show below, that all multiplication and composition operators discussed in this paper are bounded on all $A_{\alpha}^{2}$.

For two positive quantities $A$ and $B$, we write $A \lesssim B$ if there exists a constant $c>0$ independent of the variables under consideration such that $A \leq c B$. We write $A \approx B$ if $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer. Recall [12, Theorem 13] that for any real number $\alpha$, a function $f$ belongs to $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ if and only if the $m$ th derivative $f^{(m)}$ belongs to $A_{\alpha+2 m}^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\alpha} \approx\left\|f^{(m)}\right\|_{\alpha+2 m} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, if $\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\cdot\|_{\alpha_{2}} \lesssim\|\cdot\|_{\alpha_{1}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $\alpha$ be a real number and $m$ be a positive integer such that $\alpha+2 m>$ -1 . There exists a positive constant $C$ such that if $u$ is a function holomorphic on an open neighborhood of the closed unit disk, then $M_{u}$ is a bounded operator on $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{u}\right\| \leq C \max \left\{\left\|u^{(j)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})}: 0 \leq j \leq m\right\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. To simplify the notation, we put

$$
\|u\|_{m, \infty}=\max \left\{\left\|u^{(j)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})}: 0 \leq j \leq m\right\}
$$

For any $f \in A_{\alpha}^{2}$, using (2.4), we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u f\|_{\alpha} \approx\left\|(u f)^{(m)}\right\|_{\alpha+2 m} & =\left\|\sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j} u^{(m-j)} f^{(j)}\right\|_{\alpha+2 m} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j}\left\|u^{(m-j)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})}\left\|f^{(j)}\right\|_{\alpha+2 m} \\
& \leq\|u\|_{m, \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j}\left\|f^{(j)}\right\|_{\alpha+2 m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, for any $0 \leq j \leq m$, by (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$
\left\|f^{(j)}\right\|_{\alpha+2 m} \approx\|f\|_{\alpha+2 m-2 j} \lesssim\|f\|_{\alpha}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\|u f\|_{\alpha} \lesssim\|u\|_{m, \infty}\|f\|_{\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j}=2^{m}\|u\|_{m, \infty}\|f\|_{\alpha}
$$

This implies 2.6 with a constant $C$ independent of $u$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $\varphi$ be a holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$ such that $\varphi$ extends to a holomorphic function on an open neighborhood of the closed unit disk. Then $C_{\varphi}$ is a bounded operator on $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ for any real number $\alpha$.
Proof. Fix any real number $\gamma>-1$. We shall prove that $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $A_{-2 k+\gamma}^{2}$ for all integers $k \geq 0$ by induction on $k$. This immediately yields the conclusion of the lemma.

Since $\gamma>-1, A_{\gamma}^{2}$ is the weighted Bergman space with weight $\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\gamma}$. It is well known that $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $A_{\gamma}^{2}$, which proves our claim for the case $k=0$. Now assume that $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $A_{-2 k+\gamma}^{2}$ for some integer $k \geq 0$. We would like to show that $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $A_{-2 k-2+\gamma}^{2}$. Since $C_{\varphi}$ is a closed operator, it suffices to show that for any $h$ in $A_{-2 k-2+\gamma}^{2}$, the composition $h \circ \varphi$ belongs to $A_{-2 k-2+\gamma}^{2}$ as well. This, in turn, is equivalent to the requirement that $(h \circ \varphi)^{\prime}$ belongs to $A_{-2 k+\gamma}^{2}$. We have $(h \circ \varphi)^{\prime}=\left(h^{\prime} \circ \varphi\right) \cdot \varphi^{\prime}$. Since $h$ is in $A_{-2 k-2+\gamma}^{2}$, the derivative $h^{\prime}$ belongs to $A_{-2 k+\gamma}^{2}$. By the induction hypothesis, $h^{\prime} \circ \varphi=C_{\varphi} h^{\prime}$ belongs to $A_{-2 k+\gamma}^{2}$ as well. On the other hand, by our assumption about $\varphi$, Lemma 2.2 shows that multiplication by $\varphi^{\prime}$ is a bounded operator on $A_{-2 k+\gamma}^{2}$. Consequently, $\left(h^{\prime} \circ \varphi\right) \cdot \varphi^{\prime}$ is an element of $A_{-2 k+\gamma}^{2}$, which is what we wish to show.

As in Heller's work, our adjoint formula for $C_{\varphi}$ holds modulo finite rank or compact operators. We first recall a description of finite rank operators on Hilbert spaces, see, for example, [2, Exercise II.4.8].

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. For non-zero vectors $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$, we use $u \otimes v$ to denote the rank one operator $(u \otimes v)(h)=\langle h, v\rangle u$ for $h \in \mathcal{H}$.
Lemma 2.4. A bounded linear operator $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ has rank at most $m$ if and only if there exist $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ belonging to $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
A=f_{1} \otimes g_{1}+\cdots+f_{m} \otimes g_{m}
$$

When $\mathcal{H}$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of analytic function, Lemma 2.4 takes a different form which will be useful for us. This result is probably well known but we provide a proof for the reason of completeness.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space of analytic functions on the unit disk with reproducing kernel $K$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the set of functions on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(z) \overline{g_{1}(w)}+\cdots+f_{m}(z) \overline{g_{m}(w)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ belong to $\mathcal{H}$ and $m$ is a positive integer. Then a bounded linear operator $A: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ has finite rank if and only if the function $(z, w) \mapsto\left\langle A K_{w}, K_{z}\right\rangle$ belongs to $\mathcal{X}$. Here $K_{w}(z)=K(z, w)$ for $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.4 a bounded linear operator $A: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ has finite rank if and only if there exist a positive integer $m$ and functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ belonging to $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
A=f_{1} \otimes g_{1}+\cdots+f_{m} \otimes g_{m}
$$

For $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, we have

$$
\left\langle\left(f_{j} \otimes g_{j}\right) K_{w}, K_{z}\right\rangle=\left\langle K_{w}, g_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle f_{j}, K_{z}\right\rangle=f_{j}(z) \overline{g_{j}(w)}
$$

The conclusion of the lemma now follows from the density of the linear span of $\left\{K_{w}: w \in \mathbb{D}\right\}$.

Suppose $\varphi(z)=(a z+b) /(c z+d)$ is a linear fractional self-map of the unit disk. Let $\sigma(z)=(\bar{a} z-\bar{c}) /(-\bar{b} z+\bar{d})$ be the Krĕ̆n adjoint of $\varphi$. It is known that $\sigma$ is also a self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. Let $\eta(z)=(c z+d)^{-1}$ and $\mu(z)=-\bar{b} z+\bar{d}$. Then $\eta$ and $\mu$ are bounded analytic functions on a neighborhood of the closed unit disk and

$$
1-\overline{\varphi(w)} z=\mu(z)(1-\bar{w} \sigma(z)) \overline{\eta(w)}
$$

Consequently, by choosing appropriate branches of the logarithms, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (1-\overline{\varphi(w)} z)=\log (\mu(z))+\log (1-\bar{w} \sigma(z))+\log (\overline{\eta(w)}) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for any real number $\gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\overline{\varphi(w)} z)^{\gamma}=\mu(z)^{\gamma}(1-\bar{w} \sigma(z))^{\gamma} \overline{\eta(w)^{\gamma}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z, w$ in $\mathbb{D}$.
We are now in a position to discuss the adjoints of composition operators induced by linear fractional maps. In the following theorem, we consider the first two types of kernels.

Theorem 2.6. Let $\alpha$ be a real number such that $\alpha+2$ is not zero nor a negative integer. Let $\varphi(z)=(a z+b) /(c z+d)$ be a linear fractional self-map of the unit disk and $\sigma$ be its Kreĭn adjoint. Let $g(z)=(-\bar{b} z+\bar{d})^{-\alpha-2}$ and $h(z)=(c z+d)^{\alpha+2}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $C_{\varphi}^{*}-M_{g} C_{\sigma} M_{h}^{*}$ has finite rank on $A_{\alpha}^{2}$. In the case $\alpha+2>0$, we actually have the identity $C_{\varphi}^{*}=M_{g} C_{\sigma} M_{h}^{*}$.

Remark 2.7. Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 show that the operators $C_{\varphi}, C_{\sigma}, M_{g}$ and $M_{h}$ are all bounded on $A_{\alpha}^{2}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. As we mentioned before, the case $\alpha>-1$ was considered by Hurst [9. His proof works also for $-2<\alpha \leq-1$ since the kernels have the same form. Here we only need to investigate the case $-N<\alpha+2<-N+1$ for some positive integer $N$. To simplify the notation, let $\gamma=-(\alpha+2)$. We then rewrite the kernel as $K(z, w)=(-1)^{N}(1-\bar{w} z)^{\gamma}+Q(\bar{w} z)$ for $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$. Set $K_{w}(z)=K(z, w)$ for $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$. We shall make use of the following identities, which are well known:

$$
M_{h}^{*} K_{w}=\overline{h(w)} K_{w}, \quad M_{g}^{*} K_{z}=\overline{g(z)} K_{z}, \quad C_{\varphi}^{*} K_{w}=K_{\varphi(w)}
$$

We now compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle\left(C_{\varphi}^{*}-M_{g} C_{\sigma} M_{h}^{*}\right) K_{w}, K_{z}\right\rangle= K(z, \varphi(w))-g(z) K(\sigma(z), w) \overline{h(w)} \\
&=(-1)^{N}(1-\overline{\varphi(w)} z)^{\gamma}+Q(\overline{\varphi(w)} z) \\
&-g(z)\left((-1)^{N}(1-\bar{w} \sigma(z))^{\gamma}+Q(\bar{w} \sigma(z))\right) \overline{h(w)} \\
&= Q(\overline{\varphi(w)} z)-g(z) Q(\bar{w} \sigma(z)) \overline{h(w)} \quad(\text { using } \\
&2.9 p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g$ and $h$ are analytic on a neighborhood of the closed unit disk and $Q$ is a polynomial, the last function has the form (2.7). Consequently, Lemma 2.5 shows that $C_{\varphi}^{*}-M_{g} C_{\sigma} M_{h}^{*}$ has finite rank.

The following theorem considers the third type of kernel.
Theorem 2.8. Let $\alpha$ be a real number such that $\alpha+2$ is zero or a negative integer. Let $\varphi(z)=(a z+b) /(c z+d)$ be a linear fractional self-map of the unit disk and $\sigma$ be its Kreĭn adjoint. Let $g(z)=(-\bar{b} z+\bar{d})^{-\alpha-2}$ and $h(z)=(c z+d)^{\alpha+2}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $C_{\varphi}^{*}-M_{g} C_{\sigma} M_{h}^{*}$ has finite rank on $A_{\alpha}^{2}$.

Proof. Let $N=-\alpha-2$. Then $N$ is a nonnegative integer. Recall that the kernel in this case has the form

$$
K(z, w)=(\bar{w} z-1)^{N} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-\bar{w} z}\right)+Q(\bar{w} z)
$$

for $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$, where $Q$ is an analytic polynomial. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\left(C_{\varphi}^{*}-M_{g} C_{\sigma} M_{h}^{*}\right) K_{w}, K_{z}\right\rangle \\
& =K(z, \varphi(w))-g(z) K(\sigma(z), w) \overline{h(w)} \\
& =-(\overline{\varphi(w)} z-1)^{N} \log (1-\overline{\varphi(w)} z)+g(z)(\bar{w} \sigma(z)-1)^{N} \log (1-\bar{w} \sigma(z)) \overline{h(w)} \\
& \quad+Q(\overline{\varphi(w)} z)-g(z) Q(\bar{w} \sigma(z)) \overline{h(w)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g(z)(\bar{w} \sigma(z)-1)^{N} \overline{h(w)}=(\overline{\varphi(w)} z-1)^{N}$, using (2.8), we simplify the first two terms in the last expression as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(\overline{\varphi(w)} z-1)^{N}(-\log (1-\overline{\varphi(w)} z)+\log (1-\bar{w} \sigma(z))) \\
=-(\overline{\varphi(w)} z-1)^{N}(\log (\mu(z))+\log (\overline{\eta(w)}))
\end{array}
$$

where $\eta(z)=(c z+d)^{-1}$ and $\mu(z)=-\bar{b} z+\bar{d}$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left(C_{\varphi}^{*}-M_{g} C_{\sigma} M_{h}^{*}\right) K_{w}, K_{z}\right\rangle=- & (\overline{\varphi(w)} z-1)^{N}(\log (\mu(z))+\log (\overline{\eta(w)})) \\
& +Q(\overline{\varphi(w)} z)-g(z) Q(\bar{w} \sigma(z)) \overline{h(w)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $N$ is a nonnegative integer and $Q$ is a polynomial, the expression on the right hand side is an element of the form (2.7). Lemma 2.5 shows that $C_{\varphi}^{*}-M_{g} C_{\sigma} M_{h}^{*}$ has finite rank.

## 3. Adjoint formulas on $H^{2}(\beta)$

In this section we would like to generalize the results in Section 2 to certain weighted Hardy spaces $H^{2}(\beta)$. We begin with an auxiliary result. For $s=1,2$, consider a Hilbert space $H_{s}$ of analytic functions on the unit disk such that

$$
\left\langle z^{j}, z^{\ell}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } j \neq \ell \\ \beta_{s}^{2}(j) & \text { if } j=\ell\end{cases}
$$

Here, $\left\{\beta_{s}(n)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers with ${\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty}}^{\beta_{s}(n)^{1 / n}=}$ 1. Such restriction guarantees that elements of $H_{s}$ are analytic functions on the unit disk, see for example, [5, Exercise 2.1.10]. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta_{2}(n)}{\beta_{1}(n)}=\alpha>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that the norms on $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are equivalent. We claim that there is a compact operator $K: H_{2} \rightarrow H_{2}$ such that for all functions $f, g \in H_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2}\langle f, g\rangle_{1}=\langle f, g\rangle_{2}+\langle K f, g\rangle_{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, define the operator $K: H_{2} \rightarrow H_{2}$ by

$$
K\left(z^{n}\right)=\left(\frac{\alpha^{2} \beta_{1}(n)^{2}}{\beta_{2}(n)^{2}}-1\right) z^{n}
$$

for $n=0,1, \ldots$ and extend by linearity and continuity to all $H_{2}$. We see that $K$ is a self-adjoint diagonal operator with respect to the orthonormal basis of monomials. By (3.1), [2, Proposition II.4.6] shows that $K$ is a compact operator on $H_{2}$, hence on $H_{1}$ as well. It is clear that $\left(3.2\right.$ holds for $f(z)=z^{j}$ and $g(z)=z^{\ell}$ if $j \neq \ell$. If $j=\ell$, then we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{2}\left\langle z^{j}, z^{j}\right\rangle_{1} & =\alpha^{2} \beta_{1}^{2}(j)=\beta_{2}^{2}(j)+\left(\frac{\alpha^{2} \beta_{1}(j)^{2}}{\beta_{2}(j)^{2}}-1\right) \beta_{2}^{2}(j) \\
& =\left\langle z^{j}, z^{j}\right\rangle_{2}+\left\langle K z^{j}, z^{j}\right\rangle_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Linearity and boundedness of $K$ then shows that holds for all $f, g \in H_{1}$.
Proposition 3.1. Let $A$ be a bounded linear operator on $H_{1}$ (hence, $A$ is also bounded on $H_{2}$ ). Let $B_{s}$ be the adjoint of $A$ on $H_{s}$ for $s=1,2$. Then $B_{2}-B_{1}$ is a compact operator on $H_{2}$ (hence, on $H_{1}$ as well).

Proof. For $f, g \in H_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle B_{2}(I+K) f, g\right\rangle_{2} & =\langle(I+K) f, A g\rangle_{2} \quad\left(\text { since } B_{2} \text { is the adjoint of } A \text { in } H_{2}\right) \\
& =\alpha^{2}\langle f, A g\rangle_{1} \quad(\text { by }(3.2)) \\
& =\alpha^{2}\left\langle B_{1} f, g\right\rangle_{1} \quad\left(\text { since } B_{1} \text { is the adjoint of } A \text { in } H_{1}\right) \\
& =\left\langle(I+K) B_{1} f, g\right\rangle_{2} \quad(\text { by } 3.2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies $B_{2}(I+K)=(I+K) B_{1}$, which shows that $B_{2}-B_{1}=K B_{1}-B_{2} K$. Since $K$ is compact on $H_{2}$, we conclude that $B_{2}-B_{1}$ is compact as well.

We now state and prove our main result in this section.
Theorem 3.2. Let $t$ be a real number. Suppose $\beta=\{\beta(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta(n)}{n^{t}}=\ell \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\ell<\infty$. Let $\varphi(z)=(a z+b) /(c z+d)$ be a linear fractional self-map of the unit disk and $\sigma$ be its Kreŭn adjoint. Let $g(z)=(-\bar{b} z+\bar{d})^{2 t-1}$ and $h(z)=$ $(c z+d)^{-2 t+1}$. Then the difference $C_{\varphi}^{*}-M_{g} C_{\sigma} M_{h}^{*}$ is a compact operator on $H^{2}(\beta)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha=-2 t-1$. Then $t=-(\alpha+1) / 2$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta(m)}{\|z\|_{\alpha}} & =\left(\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta(m)}{m^{t}}\right)\left(\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m^{t}}{\left\|z^{m}\right\|_{\alpha}}\right) \\
& =\ell \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m^{-(\alpha+1) / 2}}{\left\|z^{m}\right\|_{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last limit is a finite positive number by Remark 2.1. This, in particular, says that the spaces $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ and $H^{2}(\beta)$ are the same with equivalent norms. For any bounded operator $T$ on these spaces, we write $T^{*, \alpha}$ for the adjoint of $T$ as an operator on $A_{\alpha}^{2}$ and $T^{*, \beta}$ for the adjoint of $T$ as an operator on $H^{2}(\beta)$.

By Theorems 2.6 and 2.8 the difference $K=C_{\varphi}^{*, \alpha}-M_{g} C_{\sigma} M_{h}^{*, \alpha}$ is compact on $A_{\alpha}^{2}$, hence on $H^{2}(\beta)$ as well.

On the other hand, applying Proposition 3.1 with $H_{1}=A_{\alpha}^{2}$ and $H_{2}=H^{2}(\beta)$, we have $C_{\varphi}^{*, \beta}=C_{\varphi}^{*, \alpha}+K_{1}$ and $M_{h}^{*, \beta}=M_{h}^{*, \alpha}+K_{2}$ for some compact operators $K_{1}, K_{2}$ on $H^{2}(\beta)$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{\varphi}^{*, \beta}-M_{g} C_{\sigma} M_{h}^{*, \beta} & =\left(C_{\varphi}^{*, \alpha}+K_{1}\right)-M_{g} C_{\sigma}\left(M_{h}^{*, \alpha}+K_{2}\right) \\
& =C_{\varphi}^{*, \alpha}-M_{g} C_{\sigma} M_{h}^{*, \alpha}+K_{1}-M_{g} C_{\sigma} K_{2} \\
& =K+K_{1}-M_{g} C_{\sigma} K_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is compact on $H^{2}(\beta)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.
We now explain how one obtains Heller's results from our Theorem 3.2. Let $\varphi$ be a holomorphic self-map of the unit disk. We shall consider two particular cases: the case $\varphi(0)=0$ and the case $\varphi$ is an automorphism.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\beta=\{\beta(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying the condition (3.3). Let $\varphi(z)=a z /(c z+d)$ be a holomorphic self-map of the disk and consider $C_{\varphi}$ acting on $H^{2}(\beta)$. Then we have

$$
C_{\varphi}^{*}=M_{G}^{*} C_{\sigma} \bmod \mathcal{K},
$$

where $G(z)=(-(c / a) z+1)^{2 t-1}$ and $\sigma(z)=(\bar{a} / \bar{d}) z-\bar{c} / \bar{d}$ is the Kreĭn adjoint of $\varphi$.

Proof. Theorem 3.2 shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\varphi}^{*}=M_{g} C_{\sigma} M_{h}^{*} \bmod \mathcal{K}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(z)=(\bar{d})^{2 t-1}, h(z)=(c z+d)^{-2 t+1}$. Since $g$ is a constant function, we may combine it with $h$ and rewrite (3.4) as $C_{\varphi}^{*}=C_{\sigma} M_{h_{1}}^{*} \bmod \mathcal{K}$, where $h_{1}(z)=$ $(d /(c z+d))^{2 t-1}$. It then follows that $C_{\sigma}=C_{\varphi}^{*} M_{1 / h_{1}}^{*} \bmod \mathcal{K}$. Now, a direct calculation verifies that $h_{1}=G \circ \varphi$. We then compute

$$
C_{\sigma}=C_{\varphi}^{*} M_{1 / G \circ \varphi}^{*}=\left(M_{1 / G \circ \varphi} C_{\varphi}\right)^{*}=\left(C_{\varphi} M_{1 / G}\right)^{*}=M_{1 / G}^{*} C_{\varphi}^{*}
$$

Multiplying by $M_{G}^{*}$ on the left gives $C_{\varphi}^{*}=M_{G}^{*} C_{\sigma} \bmod \mathcal{K}$ as desired.

Corollary 3.4. Let $\beta=\{\beta(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying the condition (3.3). Let $\varphi(z)=\lambda(z+u) /(1+\bar{u} z),|\lambda|=1,|u|<1$, be an automorphism of the disk and consider $C_{\varphi}$ acting on $H^{2}(\beta)$. Then

$$
C_{\varphi}^{*}=M_{G}^{*} C_{\varphi^{-1}} M_{1 / H} \bmod \mathcal{K},
$$

where $G(z)=(-\overline{\lambda u} z+1)^{2 t-1}$ and $H(z)=(\bar{u} z+1)^{2 t-1}$.
Proof. It can be verified that $\sigma=\varphi^{-1}$. Theorem 3.2 gives

$$
C_{\varphi}^{*}=M_{g} C_{\varphi^{-1}} M_{h}^{*} \bmod \mathcal{K},
$$

where $g(z)=(-\overline{\lambda u} z+1)^{2 t-1}$ and $h(z)=(\bar{u} z+1)^{-2 t+1}$. Taking adjoints gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{\varphi} & =\left(M_{g} C_{\varphi^{-1}} M_{h}^{*}\right)^{*} \bmod \mathcal{K} \\
& =M_{h} C_{\varphi^{-1}}^{*} M_{g}^{*} \bmod \mathcal{K},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
M_{1 / h} C_{\varphi} M_{1 / g}^{*}=C_{\varphi^{-1}}^{*} \bmod \mathcal{K}
$$

Taking inverses then yields

$$
C_{\varphi}^{*}=\left(C_{\varphi^{-1}}^{*}\right)^{-1}=\left(M_{1 / h} C_{\varphi} M_{1 / g}^{*}\right)^{-1}=M_{g}^{*} C_{\varphi^{-1}} M_{h} \bmod \mathcal{K}
$$

Since $g=G$ and $h=1 / H$, the conclusion of the corollary follows.
The space $S^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ can be identified as $H^{2}(\beta)$, where the weight sequence $\beta=$ $\{\beta(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is given by $\beta(0)=1$ and $\beta(n)=n$ for all $n \geq 1$. This sequence satisfies condition (3.3) with $t=1$. Consequently, Theorem A follows from Corollary 3.3 and Theorem B follows from Corollary 3.4 .
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