# ADJOINTS OF LINEAR FRACTIONAL COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED HARDY SPACES

### ŽELJKO ČUČKOVIĆ AND TRIEU LE

ABSTRACT. It is well known that on the Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  or weighted Bergman space  $A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$  over the unit disk, the adjoint of a linear fractional composition operator equals the product of a composition operator and two Toeplitz operators. On  $S^2(\mathbb{D})$ , the space of analytic functions on the disk whose first derivatives belong to  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , Heller showed that a similar formula holds modulo the ideal of compact operators. In this paper we investigate what the situation is like on other weighted Hardy spaces.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  denote the open unit disk in the complex plane. Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$  be an analytic map. The composition operator  $C_{\varphi}$  is defined by  $C_{\varphi}f = f \circ \varphi$ , where f is an analytic function on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Composition operators have been studied extensively on Hilbert spaces of analytic functions such as the Hardy space  $H^2$ , the weighted Bergman spaces  $A_{\alpha}^2$  ( $\alpha > -1$ ) and the Dirichlet space  $\mathcal{D}$ , just to name a few. The reader is referred to the excellent books [5] and [11] for more details. Of particular interest was finding the formula for the adjoint  $C_{\varphi}^*$  on these spaces. Cowen [3] found the formula for  $C_{\varphi}^*$  on  $H^2$  for the case  $\varphi$  is a linear fractional self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  (we shall call such  $C_{\varphi}$  a linear fractional composition operator). Cowen showed that if  $\varphi(z) = (az + b)/(cz + d)$  is a linear fractional mapping of  $\mathbb{D}$  into itself then

$$C_{\varphi}^* = M_g C_{\sigma} M_h^*, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\sigma(z) = (\bar{a}z - \bar{c})/(-\bar{b}z + \bar{d})$  is the Kreĭn adjoint of  $\varphi$  and  $M_g$  and  $M_h$  are multiplication operators with symbols  $g(z) = (-\bar{b}z + \bar{d})^{-1}$  and h(z) = cz + d. Cowen's formula was later extended by Hurst [9] to weighted Bergman spaces  $A_{\alpha}^2$  with  $\alpha > -1$ . Such formulas initiated more studies of the adjoint of linear fractional composition operators on different spaces of analytic functions and on  $H^2$  for general rational symbols. See [6, 4, 10, 7, 1] and the references therein.

Recently, Heller [8] investigated the adjoint of  $C_{\varphi}$  acting on the space  $S^2(\mathbb{D})$ , which consists of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  whose first derivative belongs to  $H^2$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the ideal of compact operators on  $S^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Heller obtained the following results.

**Theorem A.** Let  $\varphi(z) = az/(cz+d)$  be a holomorphic self-map of the disk and consider  $C_{\varphi}$  acting on  $S^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Then

$$C_{\varphi}^* = M_G^* C_{\sigma} \mod \mathcal{K},$$

where G(z)=(-c/a)z+1 and  $\sigma(z)=(\bar{a}/\bar{d})z-\bar{c}/\bar{d}$  is the Krein adjoint of  $\varphi$ .

 $<sup>2010\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ Primary\ 47B33.$ 

Key words and phrases. Composition operator; adjoint; weighted Hardy space.

**Theorem B.** Let  $\varphi(z) = \lambda(z+u)/(1+\bar{u}z)$ ,  $|\lambda| = 1$ , |u| < 1, be an automorphism of the disk and consider  $C_{\varphi}$  acting on  $S^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Then

$$C_{\varphi}^* = M_G^* C_{\varphi^{-1}} M_{1/H} \mod \mathcal{K},$$

where 
$$G(z) = -\overline{\lambda u} z + 1$$
 and  $H(z) = \overline{u}z + 1$ .

For a general linear fractional self-map  $\varphi$ , a formula for  $C_{\varphi}^*$  modulo the compact operators can be obtained by combining the above two results. Certain simplification of the above formulas was also presented in [8]. It is curious to us that Heller's formulas are not of the same form as Cowen's formula (1.1): the order of the multiplication operators is different. The purpose of the paper is to investigate the adjoints of linear fractional composition operators in a more general setting. We then explain how to recover Heller's formulas from our results.

All of the spaces mentioned above belong to the class of weighted Hardy spaces  $H^2(\beta)$ , where  $\beta = \{\beta(n)\}_{n\geq 1}^\infty$  is a sequence of positive numbers. These spaces are Hilbert spaces of analytic functions on the unit disk in which the monomials  $\{z^n:n\geq 0\}$  form an orthogonal basis with  $\|z^n\|=\beta(n)$ . We shall show that it is possible to obtain Cowen's formula modulo compact operators not only on  $S^2(\mathbb{D})$  but also on a wide subclass of weighted Hardy spaces  $H^2(\beta)$ . Our strategy involves the family of weighted Bergman spaces  $A^2_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ) studied by Zhao and Zhu [12]. We use the exact formulas for the reproducing kernels of  $A^2_\alpha$  to obtain Cowen's type formula for  $C^*_\varphi$  on these spaces first. We then extend our formulas to  $H^2(\beta)$  for appropriate weight sequences whose term  $\beta_n$  behaves asymptotically as  $\|z^n\|_\alpha$ .

## 2. Adjoint formulas on $A_{\alpha}^2$

In this section we study the adjoint of composition operators acting on weighted Bergman spaces  $A_{\alpha}^2$  for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . The standard weighted Bergman spaces are defined for measures  $dA_{\alpha}(z) = (1-|z|^2)^{\alpha}dA(z)$  with  $\alpha > -1$ . Zhao and Zhu [12] extended this definition to the case where  $\alpha$  is any real number. For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , the space  $A_{\alpha}^2$  consists of holomorphic functions f on  $\mathbb{D}$  with the property that there exists an integer  $k \geq 0$  with  $\alpha + 2k > -1$  such that  $(1-|z|^2)^k f^{(k)}(z)$  belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_{\alpha})$ , or equivalently,  $f^{(k)}$  belongs to  $A_{\alpha+2k}^2$ . It is well know that this definition is consistent with the traditional definition for  $\alpha > -1$ . The reader is referred to [12] for a detailed study of  $A_{\alpha}^2$ . Note that any function that is analytic on an open neighborhood of the closed unit disk belongs to  $A_{\alpha}^2$  for all  $\alpha$ .

In [12, Section 11], it was shown that each  $A_{\alpha}^2$  is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space. When equipped with an appropriate inner product, the kernel of  $A_{\alpha}^2$  can be computed explicitly. Depending on the value of  $\alpha$ , we obtain three types of kernels. For each type, we show that the operator

$$C_{\omega}^* - M_g C_{\sigma} M_h^*$$

is either zero or has finite rank, where g and h are certain analytic functions associated with  $\varphi$ .

For  $\alpha + 2 > 0$ , the kernel is

$$K_{\alpha}(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{w})^{\alpha + 2}},$$
 (2.1)

and

$$||z^m||_{\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{m! \Gamma(\alpha + 2)}{\Gamma(m + \alpha + 2)}}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2 \dots,$$

which behaves asymptotically as  $m^{-(\alpha+1)/2}$  by Stirling's formula.

When  $\alpha + 2$  is negative and non-integer such that  $-N < \alpha + 2 < -N + 1$  for some positive integer N, the kernel takes the form

$$K_{\alpha}(z,w) = \frac{(-1)^N}{(1-z\bar{w})^{\alpha+2}} + Q(z\bar{w}),$$
 (2.2)

where Q is an analytic polynomial of degree N. In this case, for m > N,

$$||z^m||_{\alpha} = \sqrt{(-1)^N \frac{m! \Gamma(\alpha+2)}{\Gamma(m+\alpha+2)}},$$

which also behaves asymptotically as  $m^{-(\alpha+1)/2}$ .

In the case  $\alpha + 2 = -N$ , where N is a non-negative integer, the kernel has the form

$$K_{\alpha}(z,w) = \left(\bar{w}z - 1\right)^{N} \log\left(\frac{1}{1 - \bar{w}z}\right) + Q(\bar{w}z), \tag{2.3}$$

where Q is an analytic polynomial of degree N. For m > N, we have

$$||z^m||_{\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{A_m}},$$

where  $A_m$  is the coefficient of  $z^m$  in the Taylor expansion

$$(z-1)^N \log \frac{1}{1-z} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k z^k.$$

The argument in the paragraph preceding [12, Theorem 44] shows that  $||z^m||_{\alpha}$  behaves asymptotically as  $m^{(N+1)/2} = m^{-(\alpha+1)/2}$  as well.

**Remark 2.1.** For any real number  $\alpha$ , we see that  $||z^m||_{\alpha}$  behaves asymptotically as  $m^{-(\alpha+1)/2}$  when  $m \to \infty$ .

For the Hardy and weighted Bergman spaces (which may be identified as  $A_{\alpha}^2$  with  $\alpha \geq -1$ ), it is well known that their multiplier spaces are exactly the same as  $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$  and any composition operator induced by a holomorphic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  is bounded. However, such results do not hold for other values of  $\alpha$ . On the other hand, it turns out, as we shall show below, that all multiplication and composition operators discussed in this paper are bounded on all  $A_{\alpha}^2$ .

For two positive quantities A and B, we write  $A \lesssim B$  if there exists a constant c > 0 independent of the variables under consideration such that  $A \leq cB$ . We write  $A \approx B$  if  $A \lesssim B$  and  $B \lesssim A$ .

Let  $m \geq 0$  be an integer. Recall [12, Theorem 13] that for any real number  $\alpha$ , a function f belongs to  $A^2_{\alpha}$  if and only if the mth derivative  $f^{(m)}$  belongs to  $A^2_{\alpha+2m}$  and

$$||f||_{\alpha} \approx ||f^{(m)}||_{\alpha+2m}. \tag{2.4}$$

Also, if  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$  then

$$\|\cdot\|_{\alpha_2} \lesssim \|\cdot\|_{\alpha_1}.\tag{2.5}$$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\alpha$  be a real number and m be a positive integer such that  $\alpha+2m > -1$ . There exists a positive constant C such that if u is a function holomorphic on an open neighborhood of the closed unit disk, then  $M_u$  is a bounded operator on  $A_{\alpha}^2$  and

$$||M_u|| \le C \max\{||u^{(j)}||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})} : 0 \le j \le m\}.$$
 (2.6)

*Proof.* To simplify the notation, we put

$$||u||_{m,\infty} = \max\{||u^{(j)}||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})} : 0 \le j \le m\}.$$

For any  $f \in A^2_{\alpha}$ , using (2.4), we compute

$$||uf||_{\alpha} \approx ||(uf)^{(m)}||_{\alpha+2m} = \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{m} {m \choose j} u^{(m-j)} f^{(j)} \right\|_{\alpha+2m}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m} {m \choose j} ||u^{(m-j)}||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})} ||f^{(j)}||_{\alpha+2m}$$

$$\leq ||u||_{m,\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m} {m \choose j} ||f^{(j)}||_{\alpha+2m}.$$

Moreover, for any  $0 \le j \le m$ , by (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$||f^{(j)}||_{\alpha+2m} \approx ||f||_{\alpha+2m-2j} \lesssim ||f||_{\alpha}.$$

Consequently,

$$||uf||_{\alpha} \lesssim ||u||_{m,\infty} ||f||_{\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{m} {m \choose j} = 2^{m} ||u||_{m,\infty} ||f||_{\alpha}.$$

This implies (2.6) with a constant C independent of u.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\varphi$  be a holomorphic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $\varphi$  extends to a holomorphic function on an open neighborhood of the closed unit disk. Then  $C_{\varphi}$  is a bounded operator on  $A_{\alpha}^{2}$  for any real number  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Fix any real number  $\gamma > -1$ . We shall prove that  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded on  $A_{-2k+\gamma}^2$  for all integers  $k \geq 0$  by induction on k. This immediately yields the conclusion of the lemma.

Since  $\gamma > -1$ ,  $A_{\gamma}^2$  is the weighted Bergman space with weight  $(1-|z|^2)^{\gamma}$ . It is well known that  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded on  $A_{\gamma}^2$ , which proves our claim for the case k=0. Now assume that  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded on  $A_{-2k+\gamma}^2$  for some integer  $k \geq 0$ . We would like to show that  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded on  $A_{-2k-2+\gamma}^2$ . Since  $C_{\varphi}$  is a closed operator, it suffices to show that for any h in  $A_{-2k-2+\gamma}^2$ , the composition  $h \circ \varphi$  belongs to  $A_{-2k-2+\gamma}^2$  as well. This, in turn, is equivalent to the requirement that  $(h \circ \varphi)'$  belongs to  $A_{-2k+\gamma}^2$ . We have  $(h \circ \varphi)' = (h' \circ \varphi) \cdot \varphi'$ . Since h is in  $A_{-2k-2+\gamma}^2$ , the derivative h' belongs to  $A_{-2k+\gamma}^2$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $h' \circ \varphi = C_{\varphi}h'$  belongs to  $A_{-2k+\gamma}^2$  as well. On the other hand, by our assumption about  $\varphi$ , Lemma 2.2 shows that multiplication by  $\varphi'$  is a bounded operator on  $A_{-2k+\gamma}^2$ . Consequently,  $(h' \circ \varphi) \cdot \varphi'$  is an element of  $A_{-2k+\gamma}^2$ , which is what we wish to show.

As in Heller's work, our adjoint formula for  $C_{\varphi}$  holds modulo finite rank or compact operators. We first recall a description of finite rank operators on Hilbert spaces, see, for example, [2, Exercise II.4.8].

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. For non-zero vectors  $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ , we use  $u \otimes v$  to denote the rank one operator  $(u \otimes v)(h) = \langle h, v \rangle u$  for  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** A bounded linear operator  $A : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  has rank at most m if and only if there exist  $f_1, \ldots, f_m$  and  $g_1, \ldots, g_m$  belonging to  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$A = f_1 \otimes g_1 + \dots + f_m \otimes g_m.$$

When  $\mathcal{H}$  is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of analytic function, Lemma 2.4 takes a different form which will be useful for us. This result is probably well known but we provide a proof for the reason of completeness.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space of analytic functions on the unit disk with reproducing kernel K. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of functions on  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$  of the form

$$f_1(z)\overline{g_1(w)} + \dots + f_m(z)\overline{g_m(w)},$$
 (2.7)

where  $f_1, \ldots, f_m$  and  $g_1, \ldots, g_m$  belong to  $\mathcal{H}$  and m is a positive integer. Then a bounded linear operator  $A: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$  has finite rank if and only if the function  $(z, w) \mapsto \langle AK_w, K_z \rangle$  belongs to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Here  $K_w(z) = K(z, w)$  for  $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.4, a bounded linear operator  $A: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$  has finite rank if and only if there exist a positive integer m and functions  $f_1, \ldots, f_m$  and  $g_1, \ldots, g_m$  belonging to  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$A = f_1 \otimes g_1 + \dots + f_m \otimes g_m.$$

For  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ , we have

$$\langle (f_j \otimes g_j) K_w, K_z \rangle = \langle K_w, g_j \rangle \langle f_j, K_z \rangle = f_j(z) \overline{g_j(w)}.$$

The conclusion of the lemma now follows from the density of the linear span of  $\{K_w : w \in \mathbb{D}\}$ .

Suppose  $\varphi(z)=(az+b)/(cz+d)$  is a linear fractional self-map of the unit disk. Let  $\sigma(z)=(\bar{a}z-\bar{c})/(-\bar{b}z+\bar{d})$  be the Kreın adjoint of  $\varphi$ . It is known that  $\sigma$  is also a self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\eta(z)=(cz+d)^{-1}$  and  $\mu(z)=-\bar{b}z+\bar{d}$ . Then  $\eta$  and  $\mu$  are bounded analytic functions on a neighborhood of the closed unit disk and

$$1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z = \mu(z) (1 - \overline{w}\sigma(z)) \overline{\eta(w)}.$$

Consequently, by choosing appropriate branches of the logarithms, we have

$$\log\left(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z\right) = \log(\mu(z)) + \log\left(1 - \overline{w}\sigma(z)\right) + \log(\overline{\eta(w)}). \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore, for any real number  $\gamma$ ,

$$\left(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z\right)^{\gamma} = \mu(z)^{\gamma} \left(1 - \bar{w}\sigma(z)\right)^{\gamma} \overline{\eta(w)^{\gamma}}$$
(2.9)

for z, w in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

We are now in a position to discuss the adjoints of composition operators induced by linear fractional maps. In the following theorem, we consider the first two types of kernels.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $\alpha$  be a real number such that  $\alpha+2$  is not zero nor a negative integer. Let  $\varphi(z)=(az+b)/(cz+d)$  be a linear fractional self-map of the unit disk and  $\sigma$  be its Krein adjoint. Let  $g(z)=(-\bar{b}z+\bar{d})^{-\alpha-2}$  and  $h(z)=(cz+d)^{\alpha+2}$  for  $z\in\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $C_{\varphi}^*-M_gC_{\sigma}M_h^*$  has finite rank on  $A_{\alpha}^2$ . In the case  $\alpha+2>0$ , we actually have the identity  $C_{\varphi}^*=M_gC_{\sigma}M_h^*$ .

**Remark 2.7.** Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 show that the operators  $C_{\varphi}$ ,  $C_{\sigma}$ ,  $M_g$  and  $M_h$  are all bounded on  $A_{\alpha}^2$ .

Proof of Theorem 2.6. As we mentioned before, the case  $\alpha > -1$  was considered by Hurst [9]. His proof works also for  $-2 < \alpha \le -1$  since the kernels have the same form. Here we only need to investigate the case  $-N < \alpha + 2 < -N + 1$  for some positive integer N. To simplify the notation, let  $\gamma = -(\alpha + 2)$ . We then rewrite the kernel as  $K(z,w) = (-1)^N (1 - \bar{w}z)^{\gamma} + Q(\bar{w}z)$  for  $z,w \in \mathbb{D}$ . Set  $K_w(z) = K(z,w)$  for  $z,w \in \mathbb{D}$ . We shall make use of the following identities, which are well known:

$$M_h^* K_w = \overline{h(w)} K_w, \quad M_q^* K_z = \overline{g(z)} K_z, \quad C_\varphi^* K_w = K_{\varphi(w)}.$$

We now compute

$$\begin{split} \langle (C_{\varphi}^* - M_g C_{\sigma} M_h^*) K_w, K_z \rangle &= K(z, \varphi(w)) - g(z) K(\sigma(z), w) \overline{h(w)} \\ &= (-1)^N (1 - \overline{\varphi(w)} z)^{\gamma} + Q(\overline{\varphi(w)} z) \\ &- g(z) \Big( (-1)^N (1 - \overline{w} \sigma(z))^{\gamma} + Q(\overline{w} \sigma(z)) \Big) \overline{h(w)} \\ &= Q(\overline{\varphi(w)} z) - g(z) Q(\overline{w} \sigma(z)) \overline{h(w)} \quad \text{(using (2.9))}. \end{split}$$

Since g and h are analytic on a neighborhood of the closed unit disk and Q is a polynomial, the last function has the form (2.7). Consequently, Lemma 2.5 shows that  $C_{\varphi}^* - M_g C_{\sigma} M_h^*$  has finite rank.

The following theorem considers the third type of kernel.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $\alpha$  be a real number such that  $\alpha+2$  is zero or a negative integer. Let  $\varphi(z)=(az+b)/(cz+d)$  be a linear fractional self-map of the unit disk and  $\sigma$  be its Kreĭn adjoint. Let  $g(z)=(-\bar{b}z+\bar{d})^{-\alpha-2}$  and  $h(z)=(cz+d)^{\alpha+2}$  for  $z\in\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $C_{\varphi}^*-M_gC_{\sigma}M_h^*$  has finite rank on  $A_{\alpha}^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $N = -\alpha - 2$ . Then N is a nonnegative integer. Recall that the kernel in this case has the form

$$K(z, w) = \left(\bar{w}z - 1\right)^N \log\left(\frac{1}{1 - \bar{w}z}\right) + Q(\bar{w}z)$$

for  $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ , where Q is an analytic polynomial. We compute

$$\begin{split} &\langle \left(C_{\varphi}^* - M_g C_{\sigma} M_h^* \right) K_w, K_z \rangle \\ &= K \left(z, \varphi(w) \right) - g(z) K \left(\sigma(z), w \right) \overline{h(w)} \\ &= - \left(\overline{\varphi(w)} z - 1 \right)^N \log \left(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)} z \right) + g(z) (\bar{w} \sigma(z) - 1)^N \log (1 - \bar{w} \sigma(z)) \overline{h(w)} \\ &+ Q(\overline{\varphi(w)} z) - g(z) Q(\bar{w} \sigma(z)) \overline{h(w)}. \end{split}$$

Since  $g(z)(\bar{w}\sigma(z)-1)^N \overline{h(w)} = (\overline{\varphi(w)}z-1)^N$ , using (2.8), we simplify the first two terms in the last expression as

$$\begin{split} \left(\overline{\varphi(w)}z - 1\right)^N & \left( -\log(1 - \overline{\varphi(w)}z) + \log(1 - \overline{w}\sigma(z)) \right) \\ & = -\left(\overline{\varphi(w)}z - 1\right)^N \left(\log(\mu(z)) + \log(\overline{\eta(w)})\right), \end{split}$$

where  $\eta(z) = (cz + d)^{-1}$  and  $\mu(z) = -\bar{b}z + \bar{d}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Consequently,

$$\langle (C_{\varphi}^* - M_g C_{\sigma} M_h^*) K_w, K_z \rangle = - \left( \overline{\varphi(w)} z - 1 \right)^N \left( \log(\mu(z)) + \log(\overline{\eta(w)}) \right) + Q(\overline{\varphi(w)} z) - g(z) Q(\overline{w} \sigma(z)) \overline{h(w)}.$$

Since N is a nonnegative integer and Q is a polynomial, the expression on the right hand side is an element of the form (2.7). Lemma 2.5 shows that  $C_{\varphi}^* - M_g C_{\sigma} M_h^*$  has finite rank.

## 3. Adjoint formulas on $H^2(\beta)$

In this section we would like to generalize the results in Section 2 to certain weighted Hardy spaces  $H^2(\beta)$ . We begin with an auxiliary result. For s=1,2, consider a Hilbert space  $H_s$  of analytic functions on the unit disk such that

$$\langle z^j, z^\ell \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \neq \ell, \\ \beta_s^2(j) & \text{if } j = \ell. \end{cases}$$

Here,  $\{\beta_s(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of positive real numbers with  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \beta_s(n)^{1/n} = 1$ . Such restriction guarantees that elements of  $H_s$  are analytic functions on the unit disk, see for example, [5, Exercise 2.1.10]. Assume that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\beta_2(n)}{\beta_1(n)} = \alpha > 0. \tag{3.1}$$

It is clear that the norms on  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are equivalent. We claim that there is a compact operator  $K: H_2 \to H_2$  such that for all functions  $f, g \in H_1$ ,

$$\alpha^2 \langle f, g \rangle_1 = \langle f, g \rangle_2 + \langle Kf, g \rangle_2. \tag{3.2}$$

In fact, define the operator  $K: H_2 \to H_2$  by

$$K(z^n) = \left(\frac{\alpha^2 \beta_1(n)^2}{\beta_2(n)^2} - 1\right) z^n,$$

for  $n=0,1,\ldots$  and extend by linearity and continuity to all  $H_2$ . We see that K is a self-adjoint diagonal operator with respect to the orthonormal basis of monomials. By (3.1), [2, Proposition II.4.6] shows that K is a compact operator on  $H_2$ , hence on  $H_1$  as well. It is clear that (3.2) holds for  $f(z)=z^j$  and  $g(z)=z^\ell$  if  $j\neq \ell$ . If  $j=\ell$ , then we compute

$$\begin{split} \alpha^2 \langle z^j, z^j \rangle_1 &= \alpha^2 \beta_1^2(j) = \beta_2^2(j) + \Big( \frac{\alpha^2 \beta_1(j)^2}{\beta_2(j)^2} - 1 \Big) \beta_2^2(j) \\ &= \langle z^j, z^j \rangle_2 + \langle K z^j, z^j \rangle_2. \end{split}$$

Linearity and boundedness of K then shows that (3.2) holds for all  $f, g \in H_1$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** Let A be a bounded linear operator on  $H_1$  (hence, A is also bounded on  $H_2$ ). Let  $B_s$  be the adjoint of A on  $H_s$  for s = 1, 2. Then  $B_2 - B_1$  is a compact operator on  $H_2$  (hence, on  $H_1$  as well).

*Proof.* For  $f, g \in H_2$ , we have

$$\langle B_2(I+K)f,g\rangle_2 = \langle (I+K)f,Ag\rangle_2$$
 (since  $B_2$  is the adjoint of  $A$  in  $H_2$ )  

$$= \alpha^2 \langle f,Ag\rangle_1$$
 (by (3.2))  

$$= \alpha^2 \langle B_1f,g\rangle_1$$
 (since  $B_1$  is the adjoint of  $A$  in  $H_1$ )  

$$= \langle (I+K)B_1f,g\rangle_2$$
 (by (3.2)).

This implies  $B_2(I+K) = (I+K)B_1$ , which shows that  $B_2 - B_1 = KB_1 - B_2K$ . Since K is compact on  $H_2$ , we conclude that  $B_2 - B_1$  is compact as well.

We now state and prove our main result in this section.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let t be a real number. Suppose  $\beta = \{\beta(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\beta(n)}{n^t} = \ell, \tag{3.3}$$

where  $0 < \ell < \infty$ . Let  $\varphi(z) = (az+b)/(cz+d)$  be a linear fractional self-map of the unit disk and  $\sigma$  be its Krein adjoint. Let  $g(z) = (-\bar{b}z+\bar{d})^{2t-1}$  and  $h(z) = (cz+d)^{-2t+1}$ . Then the difference  $C_{\varphi}^* - M_g C_{\sigma} M_h^*$  is a compact operator on  $H^2(\beta)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = -2t - 1$ . Then  $t = -(\alpha + 1)/2$  and we have

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\beta(m)}{\|z\|_{\alpha}} = \left(\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\beta(m)}{m^t}\right) \left(\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{m^t}{\|z^m\|_{\alpha}}\right)$$
$$= \ell \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{m^{-(\alpha+1)/2}}{\|z^m\|_{\alpha}}.$$

The last limit is a finite positive number by Remark 2.1. This, in particular, says that the spaces  $A_{\alpha}^2$  and  $H^2(\beta)$  are the same with equivalent norms. For any bounded operator T on these spaces, we write  $T^{*,\alpha}$  for the adjoint of T as an operator on  $A_{\alpha}^2$  and  $T^{*,\beta}$  for the adjoint of T as an operator on  $H^2(\beta)$ .

By Theorems 2.6 and 2.8, the difference  $K = C_{\varphi}^{*,\alpha} - M_g C_{\sigma} M_h^{*,\alpha}$  is compact on  $A_{\alpha}^2$ , hence on  $H^2(\beta)$  as well.

On the other hand, applying Proposition 3.1 with  $H_1 = A_{\alpha}^2$  and  $H_2 = H^2(\beta)$ , we have  $C_{\varphi}^{*,\beta} = C_{\varphi}^{*,\alpha} + K_1$  and  $M_h^{*,\beta} = M_h^{*,\alpha} + K_2$  for some compact operators  $K_1, K_2$  on  $H^2(\beta)$ . Consequently,

$$C_{\varphi}^{*,\beta} - M_g C_{\sigma} M_h^{*,\beta} = (C_{\varphi}^{*,\alpha} + K_1) - M_g C_{\sigma} (M_h^{*,\alpha} + K_2)$$
$$= C_{\varphi}^{*,\alpha} - M_g C_{\sigma} M_h^{*,\alpha} + K_1 - M_g C_{\sigma} K_2$$
$$= K + K_1 - M_g C_{\sigma} K_2,$$

which is compact on  $H^2(\beta)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

We now explain how one obtains Heller's results from our Theorem 3.2. Let  $\varphi$  be a holomorphic self-map of the unit disk. We shall consider two particular cases: the case  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and the case  $\varphi$  is an automorphism.

Corollary 3.3. Let  $\beta = \{\beta(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying the condition (3.3). Let  $\varphi(z) = az/(cz+d)$  be a holomorphic self-map of the disk and consider  $C_{\varphi}$  acting on  $H^2(\beta)$ . Then we have

$$C_{\varphi}^* = M_G^* C_{\sigma} \mod \mathcal{K},$$

where  $G(z)=\left(-(c/a)z+1\right)^{2t-1}$  and  $\sigma(z)=(\bar{a}/\bar{d})z-\bar{c}/\bar{d}$  is the Kreĭn adjoint of  $\varphi$ .

*Proof.* Theorem 3.2 shows that

$$C_{\omega}^* = M_g C_{\sigma} M_h^* \mod \mathcal{K}, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $g(z)=(\bar{d})^{2t-1}$ ,  $h(z)=(cz+d)^{-2t+1}$ . Since g is a constant function, we may combine it with h and rewrite (3.4) as  $C_{\varphi}^*=C_{\sigma}M_{h_1}^*$  mod  $\mathcal{K}$ , where  $h_1(z)=\left(d/(cz+d)\right)^{2t-1}$ . It then follows that  $C_{\sigma}=C_{\varphi}^*M_{1/h_1}^*$  mod  $\mathcal{K}$ . Now, a direct calculation verifies that  $h_1=G\circ\varphi$ . We then compute

$$C_{\sigma} = C_{\varphi}^* M_{1/G \circ \varphi}^* = \left( M_{1/G \circ \varphi} C_{\varphi} \right)^* = \left( C_{\varphi} M_{1/G} \right)^* = M_{1/G}^* C_{\varphi}^*.$$

Multiplying by  $M_G^*$  on the left gives  $C_{\varphi}^* = M_G^* C_{\sigma} \mod \mathcal{K}$  as desired.

Corollary 3.4. Let  $\beta = \{\beta(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying the condition (3.3). Let  $\varphi(z) = \lambda(z+u)/(1+\bar{u}z)$ ,  $|\lambda| = 1$ , |u| < 1, be an automorphism of the disk and consider  $C_{\varphi}$  acting on  $H^2(\beta)$ . Then

$$C_{\varphi}^* = M_G^* C_{\varphi^{-1}} M_{1/H} \mod \mathcal{K},$$

where 
$$G(z) = (-\overline{\lambda u} z + 1)^{2t-1}$$
 and  $H(z) = (\bar{u}z + 1)^{2t-1}$ .

*Proof.* It can be verified that  $\sigma = \varphi^{-1}$ . Theorem 3.2 gives

$$C_{\alpha}^* = M_a C_{\alpha^{-1}} M_h^* \mod \mathcal{K},$$

where  $q(z) = (-\overline{\lambda u}z + 1)^{2t-1}$  and  $h(z) = (\overline{u}z + 1)^{-2t+1}$ . Taking adjoints gives

$$C_{\varphi} = \left( M_g C_{\varphi^{-1}} M_h^* \right)^* \mod \mathcal{K}$$
$$= M_h C_{\varphi^{-1}}^* M_q^* \mod \mathcal{K},$$

which implies

$$M_{1/h}C_{\varphi}M_{1/g}^* = C_{\varphi^{-1}}^* \mod \mathcal{K}.$$

Taking inverses then yields

$$C_{\varphi}^* = \left(C_{\varphi^{-1}}^*\right)^{-1} = \left(M_{1/h}C_{\varphi}M_{1/g}^*\right)^{-1} = M_g^*C_{\varphi^{-1}}M_h \mod \mathcal{K}.$$

Since g = G and h = 1/H, the conclusion of the corollary follows.

The space  $S^2(\mathbb{D})$  can be identified as  $H^2(\beta)$ , where the weight sequence  $\beta = \{\beta(n)\}_{n\geq 0}$  is given by  $\beta(0)=1$  and  $\beta(n)=n$  for all  $n\geq 1$ . This sequence satisfies condition (3.3) with t=1. Consequently, Theorem A follows from Corollary 3.3 and Theorem B follows from Corollary 3.4.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors wish to thank the referee for a careful reading and useful comments that improved the presentation of the paper.

#### References

- Paul S. Bourdon and Joel H. Shapiro, Adjoints of rationally induced composition operators,
   J. Funct. Anal. 255 (2008), no. 8, 1995–2012. MR 2462584 (2009m:47056)
- [2] John B. Conway, A course in functional analysis, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 96, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990. MR 1070713 (91e:46001)
- [3] Carl C. Cowen, Linear fractional composition operators on H<sup>2</sup>, Integral Equations Operator Theory 11 (1988), no. 2, 151–160. MR 928479 (89b:47044)
- [4] Carl C. Cowen and Eva A. Gallardo-Gutiérrez, A new class of operators and a description of adjoints of composition operators, J. Funct. Anal. 238 (2006), no. 2, 447–462. MR 2253727 (2007e:47033)
- [5] Carl C. Cowen and Barbara D. MacCluer, Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995. MR 1397026 (97i:47056)
- [6] Eva A. Gallardo-Gutiérrez and Alfonso Montes-Rodríguez, Adjoints of linear fractional composition operators on the Dirichlet space, Math. Ann. 327 (2003), no. 1, 117–134. MR 2005124 (2004h:47036)
- [7] Christopher Hammond, Jennifer Moorhouse, and Marian E. Robbins, Adjoints of composition operators with rational symbol, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341 (2008), no. 1, 626–639. MR 2394110 (2009b:47035)
- [8] Katherine Heller, Adjoints of linear fractional composition operators on  $S^2(\mathbb{D})$ , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **394** (2012), no. 2, 724–737. MR 2927493
- [9] Paul R. Hurst, Relating composition operators on different weighted Hardy spaces, Arch. Math. (Basel) 68 (1997), no. 6, 503-513. MR 1444662 (98c:47040)
- [10] María J. Martín and Dragan Vukotić, Adjoints of composition operators on Hilbert spaces of analytic functions, J. Funct. Anal. 238 (2006), no. 1, 298–312. MR 2253017 (2007e:47035)
- [11] Joel H. Shapiro, Composition operators and classical function theory, Universitext: Tracts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993. MR 1237406 (94k:47049)
- [12] Ruhan Zhao and Kehe Zhu, Theory of Bergman spaces in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) (2008), no. 115, vi+103 pp. MR 2537698 (2010g:32010)

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Mail Stop 942, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606, USA

E-mail address: zeljko.cuckovic@utoledo.edu

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Mail Stop 942, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606, USA

E-mail address: trieu.le2@utoledo.edu